

Weber Heights Association facts exposed

Mr. Gregory Reed and Sherry Reed's (hereinafter "the Reed's) Grant Deed title of authority ([EXHIBIT 1](#)) is to the 1968 Record of Survey [53/40](#) parcel #2 as pictured in ([EXHIBIT 1-B](#)). Legally granted real property rights to 44100 Ginger Circle Hemet, CA 92544 did not and do not name a Weber Valley Heights Association as a benefactor named within the Grant Deed thereto. There are three recorded Grant of Easement deeds that are related to the Reed's real property and legal rights of authority thereto and none of them name a Weber "anything" as being granted rights of authority thereto.

The following recorded Records of Surveys provide exact dates where certain real property locations were subdivided. Officially the following maps EXHIBIT 1-A to 1-F depicts specific portions of the West 1/2 of the West 1/2 of Section 4 Township 7 South Range 1 East. All of the parcels in these maps are located within the County of Riverside CA,

1. [12/3/1968](#) Record of Survey 53/37 doc. #117559 (EXHIBIT 1-A)
2. [12/20/1968](#) Record of Survey 53/40 doc. #124200 (EXHIBIT 1-B)
3. [1/3/1969](#) Record of Survey 53/43 doc. #849 (EXHIBIT 1-C)
4. [1/9/1969](#) Record of Survey 53/47 doc. #2420 (EXHIBIT 1-D)
5. [1/20/1969](#) Record of Survey 53/51 doc. #5706 (EXHIBIT 1-E)
6. [1/24/1969](#) Record of Survey 53/53 doc. #782 (EXHIBIT 1-F)

These copies of files are from the County of Riverside real property transfer records starting at [12/1965 to 11/1968](#) (EXHIBIT 2 -A). Near the bottom on 7/30/1969 in yellow see the Thomas Johnson to William Schickles record as shown in exhibit 1 starting from [7/1968 to 1/1970](#) (EXHIBIT 2 -B) The ledgers then go from [1/17/1970 to 5/19/1975](#). (EXHIBIT 2 -C) and then [6/3/1975 to 7/29/1977](#) (EXHIBIT -D) therefrom [6/1976 to 3/1979](#). (EXHIBIT) Weber Heights Non-profit did not become a benefactor of rights or authority to and real property in Riverside County as proven above.

The fact remains that legally recorded County of Riverside real property transfer records dated from 12/1965 to 3/1979 100% absolutely failed to name any Association called Weber Heights Non-profit anywhere from 1900 to present.

The California Secretary of State provides absolute proof the intended 501 (C) 3 non-profit association failed to follow the original 1973 foundation of formation (EXHIBIT) and bylaw therefore (EXHIBIT).

[On 7/14/2014 this letter arrived](#) from the CA Secretary of State.

The County of Riverside Recorders Office fails to have any record of a Doing Business As, (more commonly known as DBA) for Weber Heights Non-Profit Association (EXHIBIT)or a Weber Valley Heights Water Association (EXHIBIT)as shown in the 2002 Revised Associations new name (EXHIBIT).

This is a list of [real property APN's related to those lies](#). (EXHIBIT 8) That link to the left includes a short brief about a section of land in Riverside County that was said to be governed by a phony Home Owners Association (HOA) that never materialized per its 1973 foundation of Association documents.

I own Record of Survey 53/40 parcel #2 records #124200 recorded on 12/20/1968 APN #571-040-002 common address of 44100 Ginger Circle Hemet, CA. This is a copy of [my Grant deed](#). (EXHIBIT 9) The real property has three separate

grants of easement related to the land. The following three exhibits show completely separate Grants of Easement, note all three failed to grant any rights of authority "to" a Weber Valley anything and all three easements run as an appurtenance to land as named in "EXHIBIT (A)" incorporated thereto.

[1.\) 1985 by Charles Reed Jr.](#) Based on fraud as written in the 1973 bylaws at Section 8 the claim of ownership of two wells was meritless. In 1982 a Lis Pendens action was filed and it resulted in this Grant of Easement. The recording absolutely 100% fails to one time mention a "Weber" anything anywhere within the entire documents. (EXHIBIT 10)

[2.\) 1990 by Charles Campbell](#) In May of 1990 in violation of County of Riverside Ordinance 682 Sec. 3 the well was illegally drilled. On 6/12/1992 for the first time and without the required permit , a well pump was fitted with 588 ft. of electrical wiring (SEE CO. ORD. 457) and 588 ft. of pipeline then illegally energize. (EXHIBIT 11)

[3.\) 1993 by Ronald Mark Leusehen](#) See California Regulations Related to Drinking Water. Section 64216 states "Mutual Associations Prohibited. No state small water system which was not in existence on 11/12/1991 shall be issued a permit to operate if the water supplier is an unincorporated association organized under Title 3 (commencing with Section 20000) of Division 3 of the Corporations Code". Why was this law not followed? (EXHIBIT 12)

The frauds related to [Weber Heights non-profit Association](#) started sometime around 10/18/1973 when a group of people calling themselves "Weber Height nonprofit Association" held a meeting in relation to creating its original bylaws. That group then consisted of land one owner and some wanted to be land owners. The initial intention was to start a 501 (C) 3 non-profit Home Owners Association. They failed to complete the goals set at that formation of the Association meeting.

This important fact sets the foundation, the group leaders failed to register the non-profit with the as stated on [page 2](#) of the [11/18/1973 foundation minutes](#). Moot the name Weber Heights Non-profit Association.

The Second fact is; as a legal standalone entity the group did not possess ownership title for any real property. Nor did it own rights of authority to control two wells or the water rights therefrom. Somehow the utility service names the Association as a client to real properties located on APN 571-040-004 Record of Survey 53/40 parcel 4 44240 East Benton Road. The County of Riverside Recorder's Office records 100% fails to have any recorded rights granting the association as a whole any rights to act in the capacity that was noted within those 1973 incomplete bylaws or the 2002 revised bylaws.

[Page 1](#) The name is Weber Heights non-profit Association. (EXHIBIT 22)

[Page 2](#) Reading page 2, the intention clearly stated "***This must be done before we can proceed any further***

As Mentioned on page 2, above a recording with the [Secretary of State](#) (EXHIBIT 7) never happened, therefore, the initial association never took shape as a recorded non-profit, nor was it ever shown as a legally recorded CA 501 c 3 non-profit within CA records. However the Bylaws for Weber Heights Non Profit Association were formulated.

[Page 1.](#) The name of an Association is on page one. "Weber Heights Non-profit Association". (EXHIBIT 24)

[Page 2.](#) Legal ownership to two wells did not exist then or now as was claimed at section 8. (EXHIBIT 25)

[Page 3.](#) An officer was missing from the board of directors as is required within those 1973 official bylaws! Weber Heights Non-profit bylaws were not completely agreed upon. (see pg. 3) Pg.3. (EXHIBIT 26)

The result of the 1973 bylaws was a "DEFUNCT" association right from the start. Those 1973 bylaws did not have a section that allowed for any new member to join. This is a plot map with locations of the original [1973 directors](#) and the related real properties. (EXHIBIT 27) **From 12/1965 to this present day** Weber Heights Non-profit Association per CA Corp Codes **remains without legally entitled or recorded real property rights of ownership,**

[On 11/4/1982](#) after the failure to form an official Weber Heights non-profit 501(c)(3) association in 1973, nine years later a meritless notice of Lis Pendens was filed on Charles Reed Jr property AKA 44240 East Benton as record #171171. (EXHIBIT 27)

This is a fact; Weber Height nonprofit Association **was not named on [Page 1](#) , [Page 2](#) or [Page 3](#)**. of the Lis Pendens action. (EXHIBIT 28)

Look at the named plaintiffs compared to the original [1973 founding directors](#) . (EXHIBIT 29)

[11/22/1982](#) Wilson and Loire Cantrell and Elater and Charlotte Wood become land owners 18 days after the Oct. 4, 1982 Lis Pendens was filed. (EXHIBIT 30) As a non- real property owner the question remains as to what gave them the rights to join the Lis Pendens? How did the membership in an association provide rights to join the Lis Pendens prior to legal ownership rights of authority for real property being granted by a deed? That fact alone provides proof the Lis Pendens was meritless and anyone willing to add their name into the action was allowed to do so.

[3/13/1985](#) an Attorney's notice letter was delivered to property owners. (EXHIBIT 31) A copy of that letter is on file with DEH. The Weber Heights non-profit and the newly formed Weber Valley Heights Water Association are not named anywhere within that letter. Therefore, how did the rights to the well property pass to a defunct non existing Non-Profit Association? Simply stated, they didn't.

The 1982 Lis Pendens ended with a meritless Grants of Easement, fact #1

[On 3/15/1985](#) Mr. Charles Reed Jr. signed a Grant of easement [record # 53702](#) and Weber Heights Non-profit Association was not listed one single time anywhere within the deed as a legally entitled rights owner.

Mr. Charles Reed Jr. then sold his property after settlement of the Lis Pendens. **Note: the named grantees are not exactly the same as shown in that 10/4/1982 Lis Pendens.** In my opinion, he, Mr. Charles Reed Jr., no relation to Greg or Sherry Reed was extorted into signing a grant of easement in order to sell his real property. Weber Heights Non Profit Association never possessed the real property ownership rights as was claimed in those 1973 fraudulent based bylaws on pg. 2 at [section 8](#). (EXHIBIT 25)

Legal Facts

[11/3/1989](#) CA Water Code 13801 section 2.1.1 applies to all new wells. After **May 1, 1990** Charles Campbell drilled a well at 44100 Ginger Circle, Record of Survey 53/40 par 2 APN # 571-040-002 without the required County DEH issued permit as shown in County of Riverside Ordinance 682 at Section 3 effective 12/31/1989.

[County](#) of Riverside ORDINANCE NO. 682 (AS AMENDED THROUGH 682.4) an ordinance in the COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE regulating the construction of wells. Section 3 PERMIT REQUIREMENTS states "A. No person or entity, or agent, contractor, subcontractor, representative, or employee thereof, shall dig, drill, bore, drive, reconstruct or destroy (1) a well that is to be, or has been, used to produce or inject water, (2) a cathodic protection well, (3) a monitoring well or (4) geothermal heat exchange well, without first filing a written application to do so with the Department, and receiving and retaining a valid permit as provided herein".

A well drilling permit application for 44135 Perryman Lane wrongfully named the property owner as Weber Valley Heights Assn.

[2/26/1990](#) an application for a well driller's permit was submitted to Riverside County Environmental Health (hereafter the DEH) for APN #571-030-037 AKA property that was not deeded to Deborah St Pierre, Robert Franko and or Weber Valley Heights Assn. per County of Riverside Records Office real property transfer of real property records 1960 to present. Then on [2/27/1990](#) a well driller's permit was issued for that same property, it too had erroneous information,

see RIV. CO. ORD. 682 Sec. 7. The Riverside Tax Assessors plot map 571-03 shows parcel 37 as seen on that permit #16245. See the satellite view of [APN# 571-030-037](#).

In 1989 the State of California Water resource control board adopted a model well ordinance. Known as 13801 of the CA Water Code Section 1.1 states the mission of the board: quote "1, Purpose and definitions: 1.1 INTENT OF ORDENANCE: It is the purpose of this general welfare of the people of the State of California by ensuring that the ground waters of this state will not be polluted or contaminated. To this end, minim requirements are contained in this ordinance for construction, reconstruction, repair, and destruction of water wells, cathodic production wells and monitoring well" The document incorporated a schedule for well permitting.

At Section #2, it states, start quote, "2 Permits 2.1 Permit Applications: 2.1.1 When Required: No person shall dig, bore, drill, deepen, modify, repair, or destroy a water well, monitoring well or any other excavation that may intersect ground water without first applying for and receiving a permit as provided in this ordinance unless exempted by law" end quote. Does that law and the County Ordinances 457 and 682 apply to Reed's well?

Grants of Easement #2

[4/12/1990](#) Charles Campbell signed a grant of easement for 44100 Ginger Circle and after the words, start quote, "This deed for grant of easement April 13, 1990, by Charles and Joann Campbell to" end, Weber Heights Non-profit was not named anywhere within those granted rights. As so noted, the benefactors of real property rights run appurtenant to land as shown and incorporated as EXHIBIT (A) thereto. Within exhibit A there was not one mention of a Weber anything. Therefore the non-profit was excluded as a benefactor having rights to enjoy the granted rights per CA Civil Code.

On 5/24/1990 that Grant of Easement by Charles Campbell was recorded as #191167. This is a fact, being named in the return mail to area of a deed for a Grant of Easement does not necessarily entitle the Company or Person thereto named as having benefactor rights of enjoyment to the rights being transferred.

[4/1/1990](#) County of Riverside ORDINANCE NO. 682 and CA Water Code 13801 were not followed by L.O. Lynch Well Drilling Co. They drilled a new "domestic use" well as shown on a well driller's report. The well was supposed to be located within the parcel 571-030-037 that permit #16254 was granted for use on. The problem is one well was drilled at 44135 Perryman lane that permit #16245 was issued for but it did not produce a working water well.

In violation of Riv. CO. ORD. 682, L.O. Lynch Well Drilling Co. then drilled another well, however the new un permitted location did water and thereafter produced a 9 gallon per minute water supply from that new well. The address for the new well is commonly known as 44100 Ginger Circle APN #571-040-002 as seen or Record of Survey 53/40 par2.

For many years the DEH may have believed a well located at 44240 East Benton Hemet, CA was owned by the defunct Weber Heights Non-profit Association and they thought the well was located at 44135 Perryman Lane. [Look at this picture, it is the well located at 44240 East Benton Rd.](#) (EXHIBIT 36) That pictured well house is not now, and never was legally any portion of the sub-division 53/47 par3 that made up 44135 Perryman Lane, that real property AKA now belonging to Mrs. Deborah St Pierre and Mr. Robert Franko. DEH inspection reports 2005 to 2012 that are related to that well house have continuously named the location as 44135 Perryman Lane. Why?

In 1985 44240 East Benton was owned by Mr. Charles Reed Jr's the property APN 571-040-004 as shown on County Assessors map 571-04 and 1968 Record of Survey 53/40 as parcel #4.

It seems as if some DEH officials may have thought they were inspecting a well on parcel #571-030-037 when in all reality, they were inspecting the well at 44240 East Benton Rd. as in the 1985 property whereas Mr. Charlie Reed's signed a grant of easement .

No matter how you draw the picture, DEH officials could not have inspected and issued a State Small Water Board permit #1790 for something that does not exist at 44135 Perryman Lane as shown on the well driller permit application submitted on 2/26/1990 that resulted in that permit #16245 being issued on 2/27/1990.

This map is on file with the DEH, the fact is after 5/1990 a new and un-permitted well was illegally drilled and located at 44100 Ginger Circle [APN# 571-040-002](#). (EXHIBIT 37)

In 1992, for the first time, a permanent pump was installed in the well on parcel 571-040-002 thereby completing the domestic well as shown on a well driller's report and within an invoice written by Lynch wells Co. (EXHIBIT 38)

Grants of Easement #3

On 9/11/1992 Ronald Mark Leuschen signed a road and water tank "Grant of Easement" # 227694 thereby creating rights to and for a new water storage system. The grant was recorded on [4/16/1993](#) as [Pictured here](#). (EXHIBIT 39) Mr. Ronald Mark Leuschen did not grant rights to the entity known as one Weber anything anywhere within the legally recorded and documented easement rights.

CA CIVIL CODE SECTION 654, 657

The ownership of a thing is the right of one or more persons to possess and use it to the exclusion of others. In this Code, the thing of which there may be ownership is called property.

After review of the 9/11/1992 Ronald Mark Leuschen Grants of Easement and Reed's Grant deed, this question remains unanswered: "How and in what year did any real property rights transfer to either fictitious union as a standalone mutual benefit association known by Weber Heights non-profit Association 1973-2002 or the newly revised Weber Valley Heights Water Association 2002 to present"?

Title 22 CA Safe Drinking Water Act

Chapter 14 Section 64211.

Permit Requirement. (c) A change in ownership of a state small water system shall require the submission of a new application.

64215. Water Supply Requirements. Prior to receiving permit approval, a state small water system which was not in existence on November 12,1991 shall demonstrate to the local health officer that sufficient water is available from the water system's sources and distribution storage facilities to supply a minimum of three gallons per minute for at least 24 hours for each service connection served by the system.

Where are the test results and records of those tests showing 44100 Ginger Circle or APN 571-040-002?

64216. Mutual Associations Prohibited. No state small water system which was not in existence on November 12, 1991 shall be issued a permit to operate.

The following document provides proof a joint ownership of a common interest water system was created after 11/12/1991. On [4/16/1993](#) Mr. Ronald Mark Leuschen recorded explicit rights for use to his real property. (EXHIBIT 12) Not one of those rights named Weber Valley Heights Water Association as a benefactor.

Why was WVHWA granted a permit to operate something it does not own as a third and independent party? Was fraud involved?

On July 13, 2002 a new Association having a new Name with New Bylaws and new land owner held a meeting, [7/13/2002](#) The "revised" Association Bylaws show that new name and the status as a **non-profit no longer exist**. (EXHIBIT 40) That creation was a new mutual benefit association with new bylaws. California Safe Water Act 64216 Mutual Association Prohibited after 11/12/1991. Why did the DEH issue the association a permit to operate? One line at the bottom of a letter provides proof this was a second association. (EXHIBIT 41) That letter stated "We will be on our own again" and it was addressed to the DEH by Mrs. Deborah St Pierre. The meaning behind that quote may have been we were on our own and without the DEH before we revised the newly named Association.

Sometime in [2003](#) the two following maps that are on file with DEH provided an accurate number of water supply hook-ups, [map 1](#) and [map 2](#) the maps show that two completely separate systems existed in 2003. (EXHIBIT 42) Jumping forward in time [this document written by Mrs. Deborah St Pierre](#) also claims only three hook-up per the two as noted separate systems that then existed. (EXHIBIT 43)

Some basic facts related to the Association.

Looking back at the [1973 Weber Heights Non-profit Association](#) bylaws, compare them to the acting and revised 2002 WVHWA bylaws. There are two similar yet different named associations having similar but completely different bylaws [Page 1. and Page 2.](#) (EXHIBIT 44) [9/11/2004](#) as shown Weber Heights Non-profit Association was no longer the name. (EXHIBIT 45 page 1)

A newly formed union of Weber Valley Heights Water Association was started. That new Association held a meeting on 9-11-2004 and [elections of officers.](#) (EXHIBIT 45 page 2)

Mrs. Deborah St Pierre was elected President of WVHWA in 2004; she failed her fiduciary duty to the association on 9/11/ 2006 by not calling for an election of a new board as required by the revised 2002 association bylaws.

As of 9/12/2006, an incumbent board representing Weber Valley Heights Water Association no longer existed.

Mrs. Deborah St Pierre charade started 9/12/2006

Assets of the non-incumbent association (final accounts) continued to be controlled by the past president. She, Mrs Deborah St. Pierre in her own mind, seemed to think she remained the association President even after failing to uphold the required association bylaws for election. Her actions lasted from 9/11/2006 until 1/14/2012. ***Noting not one association meeting was held between 2005 and 2012.***

All [legal documents representing that newly formed revised 2002 association](#) that were signed after 9/11/ 2006 by Deborah St Pierre, whereas she was claiming to be President of WVHWA, were in fact signed by means of deception, (EXHIBIT 46). According to County of Riverside Ordinance 682 the State Small Water Board Permit #1790 should be revoked. The fact is: Mrs. Deborah St Pierre's elected term as President of that Association had expired 9/11/2006. Her new title any time thereafter midnight on 9/11/2006 was ex-President elect. The termination timeline of the ex-President elect status was governed according to those 2002 WVHWA bylaws. (EXHIBIT 40)

Additional frauds started on 9/11/2006 Mrs. Deborah St Pierre falsified documents to the DEH every time she claimed to be President of WVHWA after that date of 9/11/2006.

In July 2010, in an order to fulfill her own twisted plan, Deborah St Pierre spent unauthorized funds for advice from Attorney Alec Hershey 901 S State St, Hemet CA 92543. I attended the meeting at the attorney's office along with Deborah St Pierre. The fact is Alec Hershey told both of us, the association, as a standalone business, did not own any

rights to the easements.

[4/7/2010](#) Deborah St Pierre then somewhat related her findings from the Attorney Alec Hershey. (EXHIBIT 47)

[4/15/2010](#) Deborah sent a letter to Beverly Heath. (EXHIBIT 48)

[4/19/2010](#) Deborah wrote what her **motive was**, "This member making money the use of our water". (EXHIBIT 49)

[4/23/2010](#) A total of 3 services connections per Reed's well was admitted. (EXHIBIT 50)

Deborah St Pierre using USPS demanded a real property owner to follow her demand or legal and appurtenant granted recorded rights would be denied.

[8/10/2010](#) Deborah St Pierre claimed "We won't be in the state small water system next year". (EXHIBIT 51)

[8/12/2010](#) Deborah St Pierre wrote **motive #2** "This member is making a lot of money off our water". (EXHIBIT 52)

Deborah St Pierre sent out ballots that failed to conform to CA Corp. Code and were not authorized at a meeting or by the well owners.

[8/12/2010](#) Deborah St Pierre delivered, by mail, a ballot without a time for return asking should members uphold bylaws. (EXHIBIT 53)

[Hess voted](#) and Deborah St Pierre shared the tabulated ballot results. The Hess property is **NOT** listed as a benefactor of the grants of easement rights therefore why did that Hess opinion count for anything related to the easements. (EXHIBIT 54)

I saw this letter as an attempt to extort Mrs. Beverly Heath into complying with Deborah St Pierre's wishes. Mrs. Beverly Heath owns rights to the wells and rights to the water storage easement. Mrs. Deborah St Pierre knew the Association did not own any rights to the well. She had been informed by an Attorney that the Association did not own rights to either of the well. I was there I know what the Attorney told the two of us. (EXHIBIT 55)

[8/23/2010](#) It was Deborah St Pierre claiming to be President who wrote and sent the "Notice of intention to terminate water service". (EXHIBIT 56)

The illegal ballots she sent out never mentioned "termination of water services."

The Association did not and does not own a right to say how water is or is not used. Without merit Mrs. Deborah St Pierre claimed Heath was using water commercially. The 2002 revised bylaws state the water rights shall run with the land. What gave the association the right to determine how water was used?

[8/25/2010](#) thank you for voting. (EXHIBIT 57)

Where is the correct property addresses within the DEH Inspection Reports from 2005 to 2015

[9/1/2010](#) The DEH water inspection noting the total hookups to the water system. (EXHIBIT 58)

[9/1/2010](#) pg. 2. (EXHIBIT 59)

[9/11/2010](#) legally connected properties map. (EXHIBIT 60)

More Deceptions by Deborah St Pierre

[9/13/2010](#) SEE BRIEF Deborah St Pierre and Dan Spears severed an appurtenant water supply pipeline embedded in real property not belonging to the past and failed non-profit association. (EXHIBIT 60)

[9/27/2010](#) Deborah St Pierre sent a ballot trying to terminate Reed's water service. (EXHIBIT 61)

[10/14/2010](#) Deborah St Pierre provided false information to a Riverside County Sheriff. (EXHIBIT 62)

[November 30, 2010](#) without easement owner's voted or written approval, Deborah St Pierre took it upon herself and spent unauthorized funds at attorney Best, Best and Krieger. (EXHIBIT 63)

[11/2/2010](#) Deborah St Pierre planned to expand the rights granted within the 1990 Grant of easement. . (EXHIBIT 64)
[12/3/2010](#) Deborah St Pierre spent more un-authorized sums of money for Deborah St Pierre's personal research goals. (EXHIBIT 65)

[1/2/2011](#) Deborah St Pierre provides proof she believed the ballot was to completely terminate Heath's water. . (EXHIBIT 66) The ballot stated uphold bylaws, the word terminate was not mentioned once on the illegal ballot. On January 11, 2011 Deborah St Pierre continued her non authorized spending spree without consideration of her actions.

[September 5, 2011](#). This document provides absolute proof of what I called an attempted extortion as I saw it. (EXHIBIT 67) Mrs. Deborah St Pierre provided, if she'd, Mrs. Beverly Heath, complied with the terms of the disconnect notice, she would have approached W.V.H.A members and told us that she was pulling the marijuana plants and ask us not to terminate. The motives may have been revealed. The underlying reasoning behind the termination was in fact to make Mrs. Beverly Heath remove marijuana plants embedded in her legally owned real property Record of Survey 53/43 parcel #4.

[2/3/2012](#) Deborah St Pierre still claiming to be President of the failed association called for a group of people to attend a garage meeting held at Dan Spears property. . (EXHIBIT 68)

Deborah St Pierre's charade continued claiming to be the President of a defunct association, she continued her lies up to 1/14/2012 and even on that date she claimed she was President in order to call a meeting of the 2004 to 2006 defunct association.

On [1/14/2012](#) a group of people consisting of both renters and real property owners voted on a new board of directors. (EXHIBIT 69) That group consisted of, Property owner Dan Spears, book/map 53/53 parcel -1
Property owner Debbie St. Pierre, Bob Franko book/map 53/47 parcel -2 and 3
Property owner Sherry Reed parcel 53/40 parcel book/map 53/40 parcel -2
Property owner Leroy and Janice Smith book/map 53/51 parcel -1
Property owner Gary Boer book/map 53/43 parcel -2
Property owner Jeff Hall book/map 53/53 parcel -4
Property renter, Eric Schaumberger, had seconded votes. He was a renter and not an easement rights owner.
Property renter, Tina Ziegler, was also a non-easement right owner.

The Minutes from last meeting held on [9/11/2004](#) were dispersed and discussed. (EXHIBIT 70)

- I. No bylaws were adapted for the new mutual benefit association consisting of both real property rights owners and the property renter who moved motions as noted by Tina Ziegler who somehow was appointed as a temporary Secretary.
- II. At the close of the meeting on January 14, 2012 adjourned at 2:10 pm a new mutual benefit association group was formed without bylaws and without a name.
- III. Two previous association's existed, one being Weber Heights non-profit and the other mutual benefit association was known as Weber Valley Heights Water Association it was no longer functioning as a valid unincorporated associations after September 11, 2006.

Riverside [County officials at DEH claimed](#) the Reed's well here in question was inspected in 1990. How was a non-functioning well that remained without a n energy source, well pump and pipeline inspected two years prior to the installation of that equipment required to fully function was installed?

The well was drilled after April 12, 1990. Prior to that date no one or any Association other than Charles and Jo Ann Campbell had a right to pull a permit for par 571-040-002 therefore what is the well drilling permit number and on what date was it issued?

What recorded document provided DEH proof that the standalone Weber Heights Association had any ownership rights to any real property within the entire County?

What DEH documents provide the APN's and correct addresses for the well locations as disclosed in the three grants of easement deeds that are an appurtenance to my real property holdings?

[Best, Best & Krieger](#) sent me a letter claiming the well on my property is legally permitted under well drilling permit #16245. The permit provided in that letter was a forgery of the true permit issued for use at 44135 Perryman Lane. I want to know what DEH going to do about those forged documents?